

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
10/612,098	07/02/2003	Christian E. Schafmeister	214001-01024-1	3437		
3705	7590 04/08/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
	EAMANS CHERIN & M	DESAI, RITA J				
600 GRANT S 44TH FLOOR	<del>-</del>		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
PITTSBURGH, PA 15219			1625			
			DATE MAILED: 04/08/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		A	oplication No.	/	Applicant(s)			
		1	0/612,098		SCHAFMEISTER, CHRISTIAN E.			
		E	caminer	,	Art Unit			
		1	ta J. Desai		1625			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communion Reply	cation appear	s on the cover shee	t with the co	respondence ad	ldress		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a) unication. o) days, a reply with tutory period will ap will, by statute, cau	. In no event, however, ma in the statutory minimum of oply and will expire SIX (6) Nose the application to become	y a reply be timely f thirty (30) days w MONTHS from the e ABANDONED	y filed vill be considered timel mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.		
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on .						
'-	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
·	<ul> <li>✓ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>7-8</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> </ul>							
·	」 Claim(s) is/are allowed. ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.							
-	Claim(s) <u>/-o</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
,—	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Exam	iner. Note the attac	hed Office A	ction or form P7	O-152.		
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
, —	Acknowledgment is made of a claim to All b) Some * c) None of:			C. § 119(a)-(	d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority			- Annliantian	. No			
	<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies</li></ul>					Stage		
	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation</li> </ol>			en received	III UIIS NAUONA	Stage		
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action	•	• • •	not received.				
·			256.50					
Attachmen	nt(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						D-152)		
	er No(s)/Mail Date 12/8/03.		6) Other:		,			

Art Unit: 1625

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-12 are pending.

#### Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-6, drawn to a pyrrolidine monomer, classified in class 548, subclass
   531, 532, 533.
- II. Claims 7-12, drawn to oligomers of the formula as given in claim 7, classified in class 250 and various subclasses.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions the group one is drawn to a monomer which has different properties and have different uses and group II is an oligomer with different structure and properties and use.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 1625

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation with Ms. Debra Anderson on 4/2/04 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-6. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 7-12 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by WO 96/05828 Monn James et al.

See claims.

Art Unit: 1625

The reference clearly discloses the proline with the different R3 and R4 as the amino protecting groups and R1 and R2 can be H or Carboxy protecting group. (Alkyl group is also considered to be a carboxy protecting group.)

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 5473077 Monn James et al.

Caplus English Abstract DN 129:254357, 1998, Vol 8, Issue 15 pages 1985-1990, Valli Matthew et al.

Caplus English Abstract DN 131:170607, Kozikowski Alan et al, 1999, Vol 9, issue 12 pages 1721-1726.

Applicants claim is to pyrrolidine, with one carboxylic acid, and one ester group compounds with the N and the amino nitrogen both with protective groups.

Determination of the scope and content of the prior art (MPEP §2141.01)

Monn reference teaches the pyrrolidine compounds with the H on the Nitrogen. And with carboxylic acid group .Preparation 7 in the specifications shows the groups on the Nitrogen.

Valli Matthew et al teaches the compounds wherein both the carboxy groups are esters but clearly teaches the protective groups.

Art Unit: 1625

Kozikowski Alan et al teaches compounds wherein both the carboxy groups are esters but clearly teaches the protective groups.

Ascertainment of the difference between the prior art and the claims (MPEP §2141.02)

The N groups of the prior art have specific groups on the N.

It does not call them protecting group, but the function is the same. Please see the preparations for the Monn reference.

The Kozikowski Alan and Valli et al teach the groups with the protective groups.

Finding of prima facie obviousness--rational and motivation (MPEP §2142-2413)

One of skill in the art would have found it obvious to use protective groups to obtain the compounds of the invention.

## **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-6 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-36 of copending

Art Unit: 1625

Application No. 10/613961. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not

patentably distinct from each other because they claim the same proline core.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting

Page 6

claims have not in fact been patented.

Conclusion

Claims 1-6 are not found to be allowable.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rita J. Desai whose telephone number is 571-272-0684. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday,9:30 am to 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Joseph McKane can be reached on 571-272-0699. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rita J. Desai

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1625

4/2/04

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 10/612,098

Art Unit: 1625

R.D. April 2, 2004